Weber State University Biennial Report on Assessment of Student Learning

**Cover Page** 

Department/Program: Department of Geography Academic Year of Report: 2018/19 (covering Summer 2017 through Spring 2019) Date Submitted: November 15<sup>th</sup>, 2019 Report author: Eric C. Ewert, Chair

Contact Information: Phone: 801.626.6197 Email: eewert@weber.edu

### A. Brief Introductory Statement:

Please review the Introductory Statement and contact information for your department or academic program displayed on the assessment site: http://www.weber.edu/portfolio/departments.html - if this information is current, please place an 'X' below. No further information is needed.

\_X\_\_ Information is current; no changes required.

Update if not current:

#### **B.** Mission Statement

Please review the Mission Statement for your department or academic program displayed on the assessment site: <u>http://www.weber.edu/portfolio/departments.html</u> - if the mission statement is current, please place an 'X' below.; If the information is not current, please provide an update:

\_\_\_\_ Information is current; no changes required.

Update if not current:

**The mission of the Geography Department** is to prepare students to engage in the processes that create more sustainable environments and communities throughout the world.

**We offer students** the highest quality geographic education through innovative teaching, interactive field experiences, and integrative research.

**We provide students** with foundational geographical knowledge and skills that focus on the interconnection and interdependency of Earth's complex natural systems and diverse human societies.

### **C. Student Learning Outcomes**

Please review the <u>Student Learning Outcomes</u> for your academic program displayed on the assessment site: <u>http://www.weber.edu/portfolio/departments.html</u>. In particular, review in light of recent strategic reporting and indicate any needed updates. If the outcomes are current, mark below.

### \_X\_\_ Information is current; no changes required.

Update if not current:

### **D-1**. Curriculum

*"A collection of courses is not a program. A curriculum has coherence, depth, and synthesis."* (Linda Suskie; presentation at NWCCU Assessment Fellowship, June 19, 2019)

Please review the <u>Curriculum Grid</u> for your department or academic program displayed on the assessment site: <u>http://www.weber.edu/portfolio/departments.html</u>.

Indicate in the curriculum grid where graduating student performance is assessed for each program outcome. In the 'additional information' section, please provide information about these assessments (e.g., portfolios, presentations, projects, etc.) This information will be summarized at the college and institutional level for inclusion in our NWCCU reporting on student achievement.

### **<u>Curriculum Map Format Measured Against the 5 Geography Department Learning Outcomes</u></u>**

Courses in Department/Program	<u>Learning</u> Outcome 1	<u>Learning</u> Outcome 2	<u>Learning</u> Outcome 3	<u>Learning</u> Outcome 4	<u>Learning</u> Outcome 5
1000	<u>E</u>	I	I	NA	I
1002	Ī	Ι	<u>E</u>	NA	<u>P</u>
1005	<u>E</u>	Ι	Ι	NA	<u>P</u>
<u>1300</u>	I	<u>E</u>	I	<u>NA</u>	<u>E</u>
<u>1500</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>I</u>
<u>1520</u>	<u>I</u>	I	I	<u>NA</u>	<u>E</u>
<u>1890</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>E</u>	I	<u>NA</u>
2400/4400	<u>P</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>E</u>	I	<u>NA</u>
2840/4840	<u>P</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>E</u>	Ι	NA
2850/4850	<u>P</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>E</u>	I	<u>NA</u>
<u>2920</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>
<u>2950</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>
<u>3050</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>P</u>

Courses in Department/Program	Learning Outcome 1	Learning Outcome 2	Learning Outcome 3	Learning Outcome 4	l Learning Outcome 5
3060	<u>M</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>E</u>
3070         3080         3090         3210         3300         3360         3500         3540         3590         3600         3640         3740         3780         4410         4420         4800	<u>M</u> <u>M</u> <u>P</u> <u>E</u> <u>E</u> <u>E/M</u> <u>E/M</u> <u>E/M</u> <u>E/M</u> <u>E/M</u> <u>E/M</u> <u>M</u> <u>M</u>	P         P         M         M         E/M         E/M	P         E         E         M	NA           M           M           M           NA	P         P         E         E         E         E/M         E/M
<u>4890</u>	<u>E/P</u>	<u>E/P</u>	<u>M/P</u>	<u>M/P</u>	<u>E/P</u>
<u>4920</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>
<u>4950</u>	<u>E/M</u>	<u>E/M</u>	<u>E/M</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>E/M</u>
<u>4990</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>M</u>

*Note<sup>a</sup>*: Define words, letters or symbols used and their interpretation; i.e. 1= introduced, 2 = emphasized, 3 = mastered or I = Introduced, E = Emphasized, U = Utilized, A = Assessed comprehensively; these are examples, departmental choice of letters/numbers may differ

Note<sup>b</sup>: Rows and columns should be transposed as required to meet the needs of each individual department

# *Note<sup>C</sup>*: These courses reflect recent catalog additions and soon-to-be deletions (November 2019). *Note<sup>D</sup>*: Geography uses I, E, M = Mastered, P = Peripherally Addressed, and NA = Not Applicable

Additional Information (details about graduating student assessment):

Geography still employs these assessment techniques shown below, but is also now utilizing **Signature Assignments**, **Big Questions**, and even more High Impact Learning Experiences:

Exam or Quiz questions that assess general education and departmental learning outcomes (either exclusively or in conjunction with assessing course content). These will be agreed upon by faculty, delivered each semester, and tracked through Chi-tester.

Homework assignments, Research papers, Journals or Reflection papers, Field work, Surveys, Data collection, Statistical and/or Spatial Analysis, Map Design and Creation, Presentations, Portfolios, Service Learning, and Graduate Exit Interviews.

### D-2. <u>High Impact Educational Experiences</u> in the Curriculum

In response to the recent USHE requirement that all students have at least 1 HIEE in the first 30 credit hours and 1 HIEE in the major or minor we are asking programs to map HIEEs to curriculum using a traditional curriculum grid. This helps demonstrate how and where these goals are accomplished.

		Department/Program use of High Impact Educational Experiences						<u>iences</u>	
Courses	HIEE 1	HIEE 2	HIEE 3	HIEE 4	<u>Etc</u>				
<u>1002</u>	Field Work	<u>,</u>	<u>_</u>						
1005		<u>Data</u> <u>Collection</u>							
2400/4400							<u>Hands-on</u> learning		
<u>2840/4840</u>					<u>Internships</u>				
<u>2850/4850</u>						<u>Project-</u> <u>based</u> <u>learning</u>		<u>Capstone</u>	
2920			Supplemental Instruction	<u>CEL</u>					
<u>2950</u>	<u>Field</u> <u>Work</u>								
3600						Project- based learning			
4410				<u>CEL</u>			<u>Pre-</u> profession <u>Career</u>		

		Department/Program use of High Impact Educational Experiences							<u>iences</u>	
Courses	HIEE 1	۲ التاني م		HIEE 3	HIEE 4	<u>Etc</u>				
4420					<u>CEL</u>		<u>Project-</u> <u>based</u> <u>learning</u>	<u>Pre-</u> profession <u>Career</u>		
4800										<u>Undergraduate</u> <u>Research</u>
<u>4890</u>						<u>Internships</u>				
<u>4950</u>	<u>Field</u> <u>Work</u>									
<u>4990</u>									<u>Capstone</u>	<u>Undergraduate</u> <u>Research</u>

HIEEs include capstone courses or experiences, community-engaged learning, evidence-based teaching practices, internships, project-based learning, study abroad/away, supplemental instruction, team-based learning, undergraduate research, pre-professional/career development experiences.

Additional information (HIEE planning, assessment, or other information):

Geography engages in a great number of very successful High Impact Teaching and Learning Practices. Yearly, the department offers **Study Abroad Service Trips**. Most recently we sent students to Peru, Mozambique, Rwanda, Thailand, Turkey, and others. Similarly, we guide students on regional **Field Trips**. Travel and exploration have included Death Valley NP, City of Rocks NR, Goblin Valley SP, Antelope Island SP, Dead Horse Point SP, Goosenecks of the San Juan SP, Canyonlands NP, Arches NP, Grand Teton NP, as well as local trips to Great Salt Lake, Salt Lake City, and the streets of Ogden.

Geography students benefit from **Faculty Research** and successful **Grant Winning** (NSF, iUtah, ESRI, Office of Undergraduate Research, etc.). The department deems these projects, where students and faculty work closely together, as **Directed Research/Study Projects**. These projects have allowed students to engage in field and laboratory work, administer surveys, gather data, acquire software and gear, take online classes and tutorials, write papers, make maps and posters, attend conferences, and present their findings. Additionally, our students benefit greatly from **Internship Opportunities**. Over the years, we've had dozens of students gain invaluable experience, earn college credit, and often get paid in a great variety of internships. A specific and fuller list appears on our department website, but in short, our students have filled internships in city, county, state, and federal governmental agencies, private business, non-profit organizations, local school districts, and with other institutions of higher education. Titled **Cooperative Work Experience** by the department, these internships often blossom into employment after graduation.

**Service Learning** opportunities abound for students in the Geography Department. Examples include: Global Community Engaged Learning (GCEL) program; Green Mapping project; Global Education Opportunity (GEO) program; and Community Engaged Learning (CEL) curriculum. Although in need of an update, a comprehensive list of partners and projects can be found on our departmental website: https://www.weber.edu/geography/internships.html

That all said, **these HIEE practices are very difficult to assess**. We rely on student evaluations, faculty experiences, demand for similar courses, feedback from our community partners, and meeting our department objectives. It should be noted that our New Geography Core **Requirements** (expectations for every major, minor, and BIS student) will include 1-3 hours of Field Experience, at least one class with a CEL designation, at least one class with a SUS designation, a techniques class, a research methods class, and a capstone. All of those are high impact and will now total more than half of what every geography student takes!

### E. Assessment Plan

Please update the Assessment Plan for your department displayed on the assessment site: <u>http://www.weber.edu/portfolio/departments.html</u>. Keep in mind that reporting will be done biennially instead of annually; that should be reflected in your assessment plan. Please ensure that Gen Ed courses are assessed/reported at least twice during a standard program review cycle.

A complete plan will include a list of courses from which data will be gathered and the schedule, as well as an overview of the assessment strategy the department is using (for example, portfolios, or a combination of Chi assessment data and student survey information, or industry certification exams, etc.), and plans for continuous improvement.

### Assessment plan:

### When core courses (including General Education courses with prefix) will be assessed:

Core required courses for	Completed	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25
majors (and Gen. Ed. Courses)	2017-18							
GEOG 1000 PS	Х		Х		Х		Х	
Natural Environments of the Earth								
GEOG 1300 SS/DV		Х		Х		Х		Х
Places and Peoples of the World								
GEOG 1500 (was 1400) PS			Х		Х		Х	
Science of Global Warming								
GEOG 1520 SS/DV		Х		Х		Х		Х
Geography of US & Canada								
GEOG 3600 (soon to be 3890)	Х		Х		Х		Х	
Quantitative (Research) Methods								
GEOG 4990		Х		Х		Х		Х
(Senior) Research Seminar								

### How core courses will be assessed:

Core Geography courses	Current number	Number of	Assessment approaches	Common assessment tool
offered (including Gen. Ed.	of sections	sections to	to be used*	or will it vary from
courses)	offered/year	be assessed		section to section?

		Fall	Spring		
PS1000 Natural	16	4	4	Exam Questions	Standard set of questions
Environments					from Learning Outcomes
SS1300 Places & Peoples	12	3	3	Exam Questions	Standard set of questions
					from Learning Outcomes
PS1400 (now 1500)	1		1	Exam Questions	Standard set of questions
Science of Global Warming					from Learning Outcomes
SS1520 Geography of US &	8	2	2	Exam Questions	Standard set of questions
Canada					from Learning Outcomes
GEOG 3600 (3890)	1		1	Exams and assignments	Only one section offered
Quantitative Methods					
GEOG 4990	1	1		Assignments, senior	Only one section offered
Research Seminar				thesis research papers	

### \*Assessment approaches:

Possible approaches include (but are not limited to):

- A standard set of Exam Questions that assess general education and departmental learning outcomes. They will be based on the essential core content developed by faculty. These are to be delivered each semester, and tracked through Chi-tester.
- Homework assignments, Research papers, Journals or Reflection papers, Field work, Surveys, Data collection, Statistical and/or Spatial Analysis, Map Design and Creation, Presentations, Portfolios, Service Learning, and Graduate Exit Interviews.

### Plan Overview:

As part of outcomes assessments for General Education courses in geography (GEOG 1000, GEOG 1300, GEOG 1500, and GEOG 1520), full time faculty have collectively crafted a standardized set of topics and skills that we expect all instructors (full-time and adjunct) to deliver whenever those courses are offered. For example, in GEOG 1000, students should always be exposed to Plate Tectonics, Biogeographic Processes, Weather and Atmospheric Dynamics, Geomorphology, the Hydrologic Cycle, Human-Induced Climate Change, Soils, Concepts of Sustainability, the Scientific Method, etc. This will insure that any student who takes a general education class in our department (whether on campus, off campus, at night, online, or in person), will have been exposed to what the geographic community widely considers the standards of the discipline. What we expect students to know will be consistent with the **General Education Course learning outcomes** and objectives as well as our **Departmental Learning Objectives**. A set of standard exam questions only will form the basis of our assessment, and will be tied to outcomes. Assessment methods will vary from course to course as noted in the Assessment Plan matrix above only for non-general education classes. The assessment of introductory level General Education Courses so findividual test item results. We chose a minimum of 70% on scores for test

items as the bottom threshold for demonstrating mastery since the lowest grade accepted for the geography major is a C-, or 70% (soon to be raised to a minimum of a C). Exam copies with assessment results will be kept by the department chair and/or with the instructor who taught the course along with other evidence of learning "artifacts" as part of program review documentation. Once fully deployed, we can gather the question results through Chi-Tester in every section and in every semester.

The two required **core upper division geography courses** (also soon to change and grow) will be assessed as part of an ongoing process using more diverse methods (exams, research papers and projects, and homework assignments) by individual faculty who typically teach these courses (see Assessment Plan matrix above). Upper-division geography elective courses will also be assessed periodically, although the department's focus at this time is on general education classes and our common core geography courses.

### F. Report of assessment results for the most previous academic year:

There are varieties of ways in which departments can choose to show evidence of learning. This is one example. The critical pieces to include are 1) learning outcome being assessed, 2) method(s) of measurement used, 3) threshold for 'acceptable – that is, the target performance, 4) actual results of the assessment, 5) interpretation/reflection on findings 6) the course of action to be taken based upon the interpretation, and 7) how that action will be evaluated.

A. Evidence of Learning: Courses within the Major

### (this is a sample page for purpose of illustration only; a blank template can be found on the next page)

	Sample only - Evidence of Learning: Courses within the Major – Sample only						
Measurable Learning Outcome: Students will	Method of Measurement*	<u>Target</u> <u>Performance</u>	Actual Performance	Interpretation of Findings	Action Plan/Use of Results	" <u>Closing the Loop</u> "	
Learning Outcome 1:	Measure 1: A set of 10 multiple choice questions from Exam 1	Measure 1: 85% of students will score 80% or better on 10 questions	Measure 1: 93% of students scored 80% or better on 10 questions	Measure 1: Students successfully demonstrated interpretation skills	Measure 1: No curricular or pedagogical changes needed at this time	Analyze the performance on the lower-scoring criterion and determine if clarity of instruction improved student performance.	
	Measure 2: Student presentations	Measure 2: Using a rubric to assess the presentation, 90% of students will achieve a score of 75% or above.	Measure 2: the threshold was met, but students performed poorly (avg. = 1.8) on one criterion.	Measure 2: unclear where the issue is	Measure 2: provide better explanation of the expectations for this criterion and re- assess.		
Learning Outcome 2:	Measure 1: Results of standardized test	Measure 1: 85% of students will score at or above the national average.	Measure 1:90% of students scored above national average	Measure 1: Students successfully demonstrated competence; lowest average score was in transfer of knowledge, where only 69% of questions were answered correctly.	Measure 1: Faculty agree to include review of transfer in all related courses; this outcome will be reassessed during next review		
	Measure 2: Students are surveyed about their perceived competence of the outcome	Measure 2: On a 5 point Likert scale, 90% of students will indicate 4 or 5	Measure 2: Less than half of students felt competence with this outcome.	Measure 2: Students tested well, but their perceived competence was lower than expected.	Measure 2: Students will be given more opportunity to practice this skill with immediate feedback.		

\*Can be a mix of <u>direct</u> and <u>indirect</u> measures, but at least one measure must be direct

# Evidence of Learning Worksheet: Courses within the Major – Copy as needed (see appendix for alternative format)Course: Course [GEOG 3600] Quantitative ResearchSemester taught: Spring 2018, 2019Sections included: 2

Program Outcome 1	Required Core Course for all Geography I	Majors.				
Aligned Course Outcome(s):	<ul> <li>Demonstrate a broad conceptual un</li> <li>Demonstrate critical thinking skills</li> <li>Demonstrate knowledge of ethical</li> <li>Write a research proposal in your a</li> <li>Integrate knowledge of statistics in</li> </ul>	s – especially in experimental desi concerns in research area of interest in the field geograp	gn and analysis			
Method(s) of	Assignments	14 total	55%			
measurement:	Attendance and Participation	Attendance will be taken	10%			
	Final Full Written Proposal	OUR proposal submittal	20%			
	<b>Proposal Presentation</b>	Oral presentation in class	15%			
	Total:		100%			
Actual Performance:	Developed and finalized a researc	h proposal during the semester.				
Interpretation/Reflection on findings:	Student Proposals with respect to significance, appropriateness, time invested, and overall quality, varied widely.					
Action Plan/Use of Results:	This is to be expected in a class of 15-20 majors with differing abilities and ambitions.					
Intended evaluation of plan (closing the loop):	The department of geography is overhauling this course to make it a full range of research methods and not just quantitative methods. This overhaul is making its way					

through the Curriculog process. It will result in a new course number (GEOG 3890), and the old number 3600 will be retired.

## **<u>Course: Course [GEOG 4990] Senior Seminar</u>** Semester taught: Fall 2018, 2019 Sections included: 2

### Course: GEOG 4990

Program Outcome 1	Required Core Course for all Geography Majors.
Aligned Course Outcome(s):	<ul> <li>Further develop your critical thinking skills through course participation and assignments.</li> <li>Refine oral and written communication skills through regular class discussions and assignments.</li> <li>Explore what opportunities exist following graduation.</li> <li>Understand what professional documents that will be needed following graduation (e.g., resume, cover letters, curriculum vitae).</li> </ul>
Method(s) of measurement:	Complete and Present Senior Thesis Project
Target Performance:	<ul> <li>Generate clear and thoughtful analytical commentary in the form of dialogue and writing.</li> <li>Conduct in-depth analysis of and explore possible solutions to geographic problems while demonstrating effective written and oral communication skills – this will be accomplished through the "Senior Thesis" project.</li> <li>Develop a plan for the next step following graduation.</li> <li>Craft a solid resume that will be utilized after graduation, plus learn the art of writing effective cover letters and curriculum vitae.</li> </ul>
Actual Performance:	A Completed and Presented Senior Thesis Project

Interpretation/Reflection on findings:	Student Projects with respect to significance, appropriateness, time invested, and overall quality, varied widely.
Action Plan/Use of Results:	This is to be expected in a class of 15-20 majors with differing abilities and ambitions.
Intended evaluation of plan (closing the loop):	The department of geography continually monitors our Senior Seminar as it prepares students for careers, graduate school, or whatever future they may choose.

\*Direct and indirect: at least one measure per objective must be a direct measure.

Additional narrative (optional – use as much space as needed):

c. Evidence of Learning: General Education Courses

(Area-specific EOL grids can be found at <u>http://weber.edu/oie/Complete\_Rubrics.html</u>; they can replace this page.)

### General Education Social Science Core Course: <u>GEOG 1300, Places and People of the World.</u> (see explanation and methodology outlined after the table).

Outcome	Measurable	Method of	Threshold	Findings	Interpretation	Action
	Learning	Measurement		Linked to	of Findings	Plan/Use of
	Outcome	Direct and		Learning		Results
	Students will	Indirect		Outcomes		
	demonstrate	Measures*				

Gen ED SS Outcome 1: "Interactions between individuals and society" Students will describe how individuals and groups influence and are influenced by social contexts, institutions, physical environments and/or global process.	their mastery of the skill by: Students will strongly understand the connections between societal institutions, their natural environments, and their actions as individuals and members of larger groups.	Measured through responses to exam questions in Chi Tester.	Students need to score better than 70% on average on the sample questions over the three semesters surveyed.	74.9% of the students chose the correct multiple choice answer from a possible 5 responses. These data represent 7 sections over three semesters (194 of 259 students answered correctly).	Students successfully demonstrated understanding of the connection between humans and their environment objective.	No curricular or pedagogical changes needed at this time.
Gen ED SS Outcome 2: "Application of concepts, theories, and methods". Students will apply basic social science concepts, theories, and/or	Faculty will expose students to the most common Social Science concepts and methods through case studies or examples.	Measured through responses to exam questions in Chi Tester.	Students need to score better than 70% on average on the sample questions over the three semesters surveyed.	76.4% of the students chose the correct multiple choice answer from a possible 4 responses. These data	Students successfully demonstrated understanding of the theory and methods objective.	No curricular or pedagogical changes needed at this time.

methods to a particular issue and identify factors that influence change.				represent 7 sections over three semesters (198 of 259 students answered correctly).		
<b>Gen ED SS</b> <b>Outcome 3:</b> "Diverse Perspectives" Students will identify an argument about a social phenomenon and understand alternative explanations.	Students will experience "diverse perspectives" though immigration, politics, cultural variety, and change.	Measured through responses to exam questions in Chi Tester.	Students need to score better than 70% on average on the sample questions over the three semesters surveyed.	77.6% of the students chose the correct multiple choice answer from a possible 5 responses. These data represent 7 sections over three semesters (201 of 259 students answered correctly).	Students somewhat successfully demonstrated understanding of the diversity objective.	No curricular or pedagogical changes needed at this time.

\*At least one measure per objective must be a direct measure; indirect measures may be used to supplement direct measure(s). It is proposed that these assessment results will be reviewed by the General Education Improvement & Assessment Committee, who will provide feedback on evidence of continuous improvement.

### Additional narrative (optional – use as much space as needed):

The above assessment of GEOG 1300 looked at 7 sections over 3 semesters, and surveyed progress for 259 students. As noted several places in this document, for a variety of reasons, geography has not been able to effectively assess every section of 1300 taught. To that end, the department is busy revising its core essential content for each gen. ed. class, crafting new questions to assess that content (couched in terms of the general education physical and social science learning outcomes), and preparing to deploy both in every single section we offer. The assessment detailed below covers 3 sections of 1520 over 3 semesters, and surveyed progress for 104 students. We've been better at assessing more sections of this class, but there is work to be done as noted above.

# General Education Social Science Core Course: <u>GEOG 1520, Geography of the United States and Canada.</u> (see explanation and methodology outlined above).

Outcome	Measurable Learning Outcome Students will demonstrate their mastery of the skill by:	Method of Measurement Direct and Indirect Measures*	Threshold	Findings Linked to Learning Outcomes	Interpretation of Findings	Action Plan/Use of Results
Gen ED SS Outcome 1: "Interactions between individuals and society" Students will describe how individuals and groups influence and are influenced by social contexts, institutions, physical	Students will strongly understand the connections between societal institutions, their natural environments, and their actions as individuals and members of larger groups.	Measured through responses to exam questions in Chi Tester.	Students need to score better than 70% on average on the sample questions over the three semesters surveyed.	81.7% of the students chose the correct multiple choice answer from a possible 3 responses over three semesters (85 of 104 answered responded	Students successfully demonstrated understanding of the connection between humans and their environment objective.	No curricular or pedagogical changes needed at this time.

and/or global process.						
Gen ED SS Outcome 2: "Application of concepts, theories, and methods". Students will apply basic social science concepts, theories, and/or methods to a particular issue and identify factors that influence change.	Faculty will expose students to the most common Social Science concepts and methods through case studies or examples.	Measured through responses to exam questions in Chi Tester.	Students need to score better than 70% on average on the sample questions over the three semesters surveyed.	84.6% of the students chose the correct multiple choice answer from a possible 4 responses over three semesters. (88 of 104 answered responded correctly)	Students somewhat successfully demonstrated understanding of the theory and methods objective.	No curricular or pedagogical changes needed at this time.
Gen ED SS						
<b>Outcome 3:</b> "Diverse	Students will	Measured	Students need to score	78.8% of the students	Students somewhat	No curricular
Perspectives"	experience "diverse	through responses to	better than	chose the	successfully	or pedagogical
Students will	perspectives"	exam questions	70% on	correct	demonstrated	changes
identify an	though	in Chi Tester.	average on	multiple	understanding of	needed at this
argument about	immigration,		the sample	choice answer	the diversity	time.
a social	politics, cultural		questions	from a	objective.	

phenomenon	variety, and	over the three	possible 3	
and understand	change.	semesters	responses	
alternative		surveyed.	over three	
explanations.		-	semesters.	
-			(82 of 104	
			answered	
			responded	
			correctly)	

## **General Education Physical Science Core Course:** <u>GEOG 1000 PS Natural Environments of the Earth</u>. (see explanation and methodology outlined after the table).

Physical Science Gen Ed Learning Goal Students will demonstrate understanding of:	Measurable Learning Outcome & Threshold Students will demonstrate their understanding by:	Method of Measurement Direct and Indirect Measures	Findings Linked to Learning Outcomes	Interpretation of Findings	Action Plan/Use of Results
PS1: Organization of Systems	The universe is scientifically understandabl e in terms of interconnected systems. The systems evolve over time according to basic physical laws. Integrated at a minimum 70% mastery level.	A set of 5 multiple choice questions from Exam 1	79-87% of students scored 70% or better on 5 questions (Average from 5 sections)	Students successfully demonstrated understanding of the nature of science objective	No curricular or pedagogical changes needed at this time

GE Learning	Meas. Learn.	Method of	Findings	Interpretation	Action
Goal	Outcome &	Measure			Plan
	Threshold				
PS2: Matter	Matter	A set of 3	68-77% of	Students	No
	comprises an	multiple choice	students	successfully	curricular
	important	questions from	scored 70%	demonstrated	or
	component of	Exams 1, 2 or 3	or better on	understanding	pedagogical
	the universe,		3 questions	of the	changes

and has		(Average	integration of	needed at
physical	t	from 5	science	this time
properties that	:	sections)	objective	
can be		-	-	
described over				
a range of				
scales.				
Integrated at a				
minimum 70%				
mastery level.				

GE Learning	Meas. Learn.	Method of	Findings	Interpretatio	Action
Goal	Outcome &	Measure		n	Plan
	Threshold				
PS3: Energy	Interactions within the universe can be described in terms of energy exchange and conservation. Integrated at a minimum 70% mastery level.	A set of 5 multiple choice questions from Exam 2 or 3	59-79% of students scored 70% or better on 5 questions (Average from 5 sections)	Students successfully demonstrated understanding of the science and society objective	No curricular or pedagogical changes needed at this time

PS4: Forces	Equilibrium	A set of 3	57-79% of	Students	No
	and change are	multiple	students	successfully	curricular
	determined by	choice	scored 70%	demonstrated	or
	forces acting at	questions	or better on	understanding	pedagogical
	all	from Exams 2	3 questions	of the problem	changes
	organizational	or 3	(Average	solving & data	needed at
	levels.		from 5	analysis	this time,
	Integrated a		sections)	objective	however,
	minimum 70%				students
	mastery level.				are
					encouraged
					to take a
					statistics
					course
					(required
					for
					geography
					majors)

Gen Ed Learning Goal Students will demonstrate understanding of:	Measurable Learning Outcome & Threshold Students will demonstrate their understanding by:	Method of Measurement Direct and Indirect Measures	Findings Linked to Learning Outcomes	Interpretation of Findings	Action Plan/Use of Results
Nature of	Students will	A set of 3	87-91% of	Students	No
Science.	be able to	multiple	students	successfully	curricular
Scientific	identify	choice	scored	demonstrated	or
knowledge is	explanations	questions	70% or	understanding	pedagogical
based on evidence	that are	from Exam 1		of the nature of	changes

Gen Ed Learning Goal	Measurable Learning	Method of Measurement	Findings Linked to	Interpretation of Findings	Action Plan/Use
Students will	Outcome &	Direct and	Learning	orrings	of Results
demonstrate	Threshold	Indirect	Outcomes		ornesults
understanding of:	Students will	Measures			
	demonstrate				
	their				
	understanding				
	by:				
that is repeatedly	scientific and		better on 5	science	needed at
examined, and can	differentiate		questions	objective	this time
change with new	from those				
information.	that are not				
	scientific at a				
	minimum 70%				
	mastery level.				

GE Learning Goal	Meas. Learn.	Method	Findings	Interpretation	Action Plan
	Outcome &	of			
	Threshold	Measure			
Integration of	Students will	A set of 3	77-89% of	Students	No
Science	be able to	multiple	students scored	successfully	curricular or
All natural	identify how	choice	70% or better	demonstrated	pedagogical
phenomena are	scientific	questions	on 3 questions	understanding	changes
interrelated and	explanations	from	(Average from 5	of the	needed at
share basic	are cohesive	Exams 1,	sections)	integration of	this time
organizational	& integrated	2 or 3		science	
principles.	at a minimum			objective	
Scientific	70% mastery				
explanations	level.				
obtained from					
different					
disciplines should					
be cohesive &					
integrated.					

Societybe able tomultiplestudents scoredsuccessfully	
	pedagogical
The study of science providesidentify how scientificchoice questions70% or better on 5 questionsdemonstrated understanding of the science and societyhave significant impact on society, including technological advancements, human life, and better understanding of human and other influences on the earth'sidentify how scientific questionschoice questions from S70% or better on 5 questionsdemonstrated understanding of the science and society objective	changes needed

Problem Solving	Students will	A set of 3	66-67% of	Students	No curricular
& Data Analysis	be able to	multiple	students	successfully	or pedagogical
Science relies on	analyze, and	choice	scored 70%	demonstrated	changes
empirical data, and	interpret data	questions	or better on 3	understanding	needed at this
such data must be	in order to	from	questions	of the problem	time, however,
analyzed,	identify	Exams 2		solving & data	students are
interpreted, and	generalizations	or 3		analysis	encouraged to
generalized in a	at a minimum			objective	take a statistics
rigorous manner.	70% mastery				course
	level.				(required for
					geography
					majors)

### Additional narrative (optional – use as much space as needed):

Much like the other Gen. Ed. assessments, this above assessment of GEOG 1000 looked at multiple sections over 3 semesters, and surveyed progress for students. The report is slightly different as the percents reflect a range covering three exams administered over 3 semesters. In all cases, the average of the range exceeded our 70% threshold.

As noted several places in this document, for a variety of reasons, geography has not been able to effectively assess every section of 1000 taught. To that end, the department is busy revising its core essential content for each gen. ed. class, crafting new questions to assess that content (couched in terms of the general education physical and social science learning outcomes), and preparing to deploy both in every single section we offer.

The assessment detailed below covers 3 sections of 1500 (was 1400) over 3 semesters, and surveyed progress for students. We've been better at assessing more sections of this class, but there is work to be done as noted above.

General Education Physical Science Core Course: <u>GEOG 1500 (1400) PS The Science of Global Warming</u>. (see explanation and methodology outlined above).

Physical Science Gen Ed Learning Goal Students will demonstrate understanding of:	Measurable Learning Outcome & Threshold Students will demonstrate their understanding by:	Method of Measurement Direct and Indirect Measures	Findings Linked to Learning Outcomes	Interpretation of Findings	Action Plan/Use of Results
PS1: Organization of Systems	The universe is scientifically understandabl e in terms of interconnected systems. The systems evolve over time according to basic physical laws. Integrated at a minimum 70% mastery level.	A set of 5 multiple choice questions from Exam 1	80-89% of students scored 70% or better on 5 questions (Average from 5 sections)		No curricular or pedagogical changes needed at this time

GE Learning	Meas. Learn.	Method of	Findings	Interpretation	Action Plan
Goal	Outcome &	Measure			
	Threshold				
PS2: Matter	Matter	A set of 3	72-88% of	Students	No curricular or
	comprises an	multiple	students	successfully	pedagogical
	important	choice	scored 70%	demonstrated	changes needed at
	component of	questions	or better on	understanding	this time
	the universe,		3 questions	of the	

and has	from Exams 1,	(Average	integration of	
physical	2 or 3	from 5	science	
properties that		sections)	objective	
can be				
described over				
a range of				
scales.				
Integrated at a				
minimum 70%				
mastery level.				

GE Learning	Meas. Learn.	Method of	Findings	Interpretatio	Action Plan
Goal	Outcome &	Measure		n	
	Threshold				
PS3: Energy	Interactions within the universe can be described in terms of energy exchange and conservation. Integrated at a minimum 70% mastery level.	A set of 5 multiple choice questions from Exam 2 or 3	86-92% of students scored 70% or better on 5 questions (Average from 5 sections)	Students successfully demonstrated understanding of the science and society objective	No curricular or pedagogical changes needed at this time

PS4: Forces	Equilibrium and change are determined by forces acting at all organizational levels. Integrated a minimum 70% mastery level. Measurable	A set of 3 multiple choice questions from Exams 2 or 3 Method of	74-85% of students scored 70% or better on 3 questions (Average from 5 sections)	Students successfully demonstrated understanding of the problem solving & data analysis objective	No curricular or pedagogical changes needed at this time, however, students are encouraged to take a statistics course (required for geography majors)
Gen Ed Learning Goal	Learning	Method of Measurement	Findings Linked to	Interpretation of Findings	Action Plan/Use of Results
Students will	Outcome &	Direct and	Learning	0111111160	
demonstrate	Threshold	Indirect	Outcomes		
understanding of:	Students will	Measures			
	demonstrate				
	their				
	understanding				
Nature of	by: Students will	A set of 3	64-77% of	Students	No curricular or
Science.	be able to	multiple	students	successfully	pedagogical
Scientific	identify	choice	scored	demonstrated	changes needed at
knowledge is	explanations	questions	70% or	understanding	this time
based on evidence	that are	from Exam 1	better on 5	of the nature of	
that is repeatedly	scientific and		questions	science	
examined, and can	differentiate			objective	
change with new	from those				
information.	that are not				
	scientific at a				
	minimum 70%				
	mastery level.				

<b>GE Learning Goal</b>	Meas. Learn.	Method of	Findings	Interpretation	Action Plan
	Outcome &	Measure			
	Threshold				
Integration of	Students will	A set of 3	76-92% of	Students	No curricular or
Science	be able to	multiple	students	successfully	pedagogical
All natural	identify how	choice	scored 70%	demonstrated	changes needed at
phenomena are	scientific	questions	or better on	understanding	this time
interrelated and	explanations	from Exams 1,	3 questions	of the	
share basic	are cohesive	2 or 3	(Average	integration of	
organizational	& integrated		from 5	science	
principles.	at a minimum		sections)	objective	
Scientific	70% mastery				
explanations	level.				
obtained from					
different					
disciplines should					
be cohesive &					
integrated.					

Science and	Students will	A set of 3	77-79% of	Students	No curricular or
Society	be able to	multiple	students	successfully	pedagogical
The study of science	identify how	choice	scored 70%	demonstrated	changes needed at
provides	scientific	questions	or better on	understanding	this time
explanations that	explanations	from Exam 2	5 questions	of the science	
have significant	have an	or 3	_	and society	
impact on society,	impact on			objective	
including	society at a				
technological	minimum				
advancements,	70% mastery				
improvement of	level.				
human life, and					
better					
understanding of					

human and other influences on the			
earth's environment.			

Problem Solving	Students will	A set of 3	74-88% of	Students	No curricular or
& Data Analysis	be able to	multiple	students	successfully	pedagogical
Science relies on	analyze, and	choice	scored	demonstrated	changes needed at
empirical data, and	interpret data	questions	70% or	understanding	this time, however,
such data must be	in order to	from Exams 2	better on 3	of the problem	students are
analyzed,	identify	or 3	questions	solving & data	encouraged to
interpreted, and	generalizations			analysis	take a statistics
generalized in a	at a minimum			objective	course (required
rigorous manner.	70% mastery				for geography
	level.				majors)

### Appendix A

Most departments or programs receive a number of recommendations from their Five/Seven-Year Program Review processes. This page provides a means of updating progress towards the recommendations the department/program is acting upon.

Date of Program Review: 2016-17	Recommendation	Progress Description
Recommendation 1	Text of recommendation	#### +1 progress
Better Advising	Carefully consider practices around	#### +2 progress
	advising, with special attention to the	
	needs of students who are close to	
	graduation.	
		#### +3 progress
		#### +4 progress
Recommendation 2	Text of recommendation	#### +1 progress
Better Scheduling	Assure that courses are available for	#### +2 progress
	students to graduate in a timely manner.	
		#### +3 progress
		#### +4 progress
Recommendation 3	Text of recommendation	#### +1 progress
Better Planning	Attempt to develop a course rotation	#### +2 progress
2	schedule that will aid students in	
	planning their long-term schedules.	
		#### +3 progress
Recommendation 4	Text of recommendation	#### +4 progress
Better Assessment	Include elective courses in your	
	assessment processes.	
	•	

### Additional narrative:

The Geography Department completed its 5-year Review in 2016-17, and the Program Review by the Office of Institutional Effectiveness was delivered September 29, 2017. Thus, we are in second year of implementing these recommendations. **For Recommendation 1**, we've committed department-wide to interacting with students especially during the registration period. We've also executed Starfish practices to better track student progress, and strongly encourage our majors to meet with the department Chair at least once per year.

For **Recommendation 2**, we've done a much better job of scheduling our classes with the goal of reducing conflicts and easing a student's journey through the curriculum. For example, we balance our Gen. Ed. offering between day, night, hybrid, and online classes, and between the main Ogden campus, Davis, and our satellite campuses. We also try to balance between fall, spring, and summer classes. And we make sure that none of our upper division courses are offered at the same time nor conflict with related classes in the Geosciences, a department where many of our students take coursework. This, it should be noted, has been a tremendous scheduling trial.

These efforts flow naturally into **Recommendation 3**, better planning. To that end, we hope to develop a very clear and effective grad. map. **Recommendation 4** has been our largest challenge. With three faculty half-time in the department and one now the full-time Dean, we've had to rely heavily on Adjunct Instructors. They, understandably, are much less invested in assessment. Happily, we've recently hired two new full-time Instructors. They are both great assets to the department, but again, are not as committed to the long-term health of the geography department and its students. Thus, assessment of electives and even some gen. ed. classes taught by adjuncts have not always been completed. To that end, the department is busy revising its core essential content for each gen. ed. class, crafting new questions to assess that content (couched in terms of the general education physical and social science learning outcomes), and preparing to deploy both in every single section we offer.

### **Appendix B**

Please provide the following information about the full-time and adjunct faculty contracted by your department during the last academic year (summer through spring). Gathering this information each year will help with the headcount reporting that must be done for the final Five Year Program Review document that is shared with the State Board of Regents.

Faculty Headcount	2017-18	2018-19
With Doctoral Degrees (Including MFA and other terminal degrees, as specified by the institution)	6	7
Full-time Tenured	5	6
Full-time Non-Tenured (includes tenure-track)	2	1
Part-time and adjunct	0	0
With Master's Degrees	6	6
Full-time Tenured		
Full-time Non-Tenured		
Part-time and adjunct	6	6
With Bachelor's Degrees	0	0
Full-time Tenured		

Full-time Non-tenured		
Part-time and adjunct	0	0
Other		
Full-time Tenured		
Full-time Non-tenured		
Part-time	0	0
Total Headcount Faculty	13	13
Full-time Tenured	5	6
Full-time Non-tenured	2	1
Part-time	6	6

## Appendix C – alternative format for Evidence of Learning Reporting

Course:

course.	
Program Outcome 1	
Aligned Course Outcome(s):	
Method(s) of measurement:	
Target Performance:	
Actual Performance:	
Interpretation/Reflection on findings:	
Action Plan/Use of Results:	
Intended evaluation of plan (closing the loop):	

### Please respond to the following questions.

- 1) First year student success is critical to WSU's retention and graduation efforts. We are interested in finding out how departments support their first-year students. Do you have mechanisms and processes in place to identify, meet with, and support first-year students? Please provide a brief narrative focusing on your program's support of new students:
  - a. Any first-year students taking courses in your program(s).

The vast majority of first-year students that come through the geography department, do so as General Education students in our four Social and Physical Science Gen. Ed. classes (1000, 1300, 1500, 1520). The department spends a good deal of time helping students succeed in those classes, as well as encouraging them to take other geography classes and consider the discipline for a major or minor. We actively invite those students to presentations, film screenings, field opportunities, and especially to Geography club events.

b. Students declared in your program(s), whether or not they are taking courses in your program(s)

New students to our program (majors, minors, BIS, and certificate seeking students) benefit from the full range of our attention as a department. Regular advisement, tutoring, field and travel opportunities, scholarship help, support for internships, career preparation, technical skills, and graduate school are widely encouraged. We often bring back successful alumni to interact with students, invite them to participate in undergraduate research, and strongly support their quest for financial assistance, conference presentation, and meaning field experiences. And, while we struggle at this, we actively try to follow our alumni after graduation.

2) A key component of sound assessment practice is the process of 'closing the loop' – that is, following up on changes implemented as a response to your assessment findings, to determine the impact of those changes/innovations. It is also an aspect of assessment on which we need to improve, as suggested in our NWCCU mid-cycle report. Please describe the processes your program has in place to 'close the loop'.

Much of this, really, is detailed above. In response to our formal outside department review, yearly assessment, interviews with alumni, graduate exit surveys, interaction with other geography chairs nationwide, and our own faculty discussions, the department is continuously looking for ways to "close the loop."

For example, we are (again) revising the core concepts for our Gen. Ed. classes and crafting better questions to measure those outcomes. After an exhaustive research and deliberation, we've changed our department name to **Geography, Environment and Sustainability**, and are completely revising our curriculum: emphases or tracks, course titles and descriptions, electives, and content. We've just begun a thorough look at "KSAs" or the **Knowledge, Skills, and Aptitudes** essential for any student to be a successful and meaningful geographer after they graduate. It is, to understate the obvious, a work in progress.

### <u>Glossary</u>

### Student Learning Outcomes/Measurable Learning Outcomes

The terms 'learning outcome', 'learning objective', 'learning competency', and 'learning goal' are often used interchangeably. Broadly, these terms reference what we want students to be able to do AFTER they pass a course or graduate from a program. For this document, we will use the word 'outcomes'. Good learning outcomes are specific (but not too specific), are observable, and are clear. Good learning outcomes focus on skills: knowledge and understanding; transferrable skills; habits of mind; career skills; attitudes and values.

- Should be developed using action words (if you can see it, you can assess it).
- Use compound statements judiciously.
- Use complex statements judiciously.

### Curriculum Grid

A chart identifying the key learning outcomes addressed in each of the curriculum's key elements or learning experiences (Suskie, 2019). A good curriculum:

- Gives students ample, diverse opportunities to achieve core learning outcomes.
- Has appropriate, progressive rigor.
- Concludes with an integrative, synthesizing capstone experience.
- Is focused and simple.
- Uses research-informed strategies to help students learn and succeed.
- Is consistent across venues and modalities.
- Is greater than the sum of its parts.

### Target Performance (previously referred to as 'Threshold')

The level of performance at which students are doing well enough to succeed in later studies (e.g., next course in sequence or next level of course) or career.

### Actual Performance

How students performed on the specific assessment. An average score is less meaningful than a distribution of scores (for example, 72% of students met or exceeded the target performance, 5% of students failed the assessment).

### Closing the Loop

The process of following up on changes made to curriculum, pedagogy, materials, etc., to determine if the changes had the desired impact.

### **Continuous Improvement**

An idea with roots in manufacturing, that promotes the ongoing effort to improve. Continuous improvement uses data and evidence to improve student learning and drive student success.

### Direct evidence

Evidence based upon actual student work; performance on a test, a presentation, or a research paper, for example. Direct evidence is tangible, visible, and measurable.

### Indirect evidence

Evidence that serves as a proxy for student learning. May include student opinion/perception of learning, course grades, measures of satisfaction, participation. Works well as a complement to direct evidence.

### <u>HIEE – High Impact Educational Experiences</u>

Promote student learning through curricular and co-curricular activities that are intentionally designed to foster active and integrative student engagement by utilizing multiple impact strategies.