WSU Five-Year Program Review Self-Study

Cover Page

Department/Program: Engineering Technology/Manufacturing Engineering Technology

Semester Submitted: Fall 2014

Self-Study Team Chair: Kelly A. Harward

Self-Study Team Members: Andrew Deceuster Mark Baugh George Comber Rick Orr Kerry Tobin

Contact Information: Rick Orr, Department Chair Phone: 626-7514 Email: rworr@weber.edu

A. Brief Introductory Statement

The Manufacturing Engineering Technology (MFET) program was implemented in the 1962-63 academic year as a direct response to requests from local industries and has been ABET accredited since 1972. An Associate of Applied Science degree was added to the four year degree in 1998.

Since 2010, several significant changes have occurred that enhance our capability to serve students. In 2011, an emphasis in Plastics and Composites was added to the MFET degree and the traditional MFET degree was given the emphasis name of Production Operations & Controls. With the Welding emphasis added in 2004, students now have 3 different options or emphases in their pursuit of a Manufacturing Engineering Technology degree. Shortly after the Electronics Engineering Technology program was moved into the department, the department name was also changed from Manufacturing & Mechanical Engineering Technology to the more appropriate and inclusive name of Engineering Technology.

The MFET program has continued to strengthen the use of the Senior Capstone Project as an assessment tool. During the last academic year, the program was also enhanced with the acquisition of four new CNC Haas Lathes one CNC Haas Mill, a Mach II Flowwater-jet cutter, a Haas GR510 CNC Router for the plastic/composite laboratory, and an upgrade to our Stratasys Dimension 3D printer.

B. Mission Statement

College of Applied Science and Technology

The vision of the College of Applied Science and Technology (COAST) is to be Utah's leader in technology and technologyrelated programs through service to our students and the businesses and industries in our region. Our mission is to serve the citizens of northern Utah and the state of Utah by:

• Preparing students for employment upon graduation and ensuring that they are productive, accountable and responsible individuals able to function effectively in today's workplace.

- Engaging in scholarly activities that expand the technological education our students receive and provide a service to business and industry.
- Utilizing the college's resources and faculty expertise to benefit students, business, industry, education, government and society in general.

Manufacturing Engineering Technology (MFET) Program Mission Statement

The MFET Program at Weber State University will be a growing, nationally recognized, ABET accredited program that affords faculty and students the opportunities for intellectual and personal growth, while enabling economic growth in Utah. We will prepare students with a broad technical foundation along with the communication and interpersonal skills which will enable them to demonstrate professional competence within the discipline and serve the needs of industry.

C. Curriculum

<u>Curriculum Map</u>

• Relationship of Courses in the Curriculum to the Program Outcomes

Curriculum Map

I = Introduced R = Reinforced			D	epartm	ent/Pro	ogram L	earning	Outcom	es		
E = Emphasized											
Core Courses in the Program	Learning Outcome 1	Learning Outcome 2	Learning Outcome 3	Learning Outcome 4	Learning Outcome 5	Learning Outcome 6	Learning Outcome 7	Learning Outcome 8	Learning Outcome 9	Learning Outcome 10	Learning Outcome 11
MFET 1150 Pre-Professional Seminar	Ι	Ι				Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι
MFET 1210 Machining Principles	Ι	Ι					Ι				
DET 1010 Intro to Eng & Technical Des	Ι										
DET 1160 GD&T using 3D CAD		Ι		Ι							
MFET 2150 Metal Form, Cast & Weld/Lab ^C	R	Ι			Ι		Ι				
DET 2460 Product Design Fund 3D CAD	R			R		R					
MFET 2300 Statics & Strength of Materials		R			Е						
MFET 2410 Quality Concepts & Stats Apps	R	R									
MFET 2440/L CNC in Manufacturing/Lab		R		R		R					
EET 1850 Industrial Electronics	R										
MFET 3340/L Applied Fluid Power/Lab		Ι									

I = Introduced R = Reinforced			D	epartm	ent/Pro	ogram L	earning	Outcom	es		
E = Emphasized											
A = Assessed											
		5	3	4	L L	9	7	8	6	; 10	11
	ing	ing me	ing me	ing	ing me	ing	ing me	ing	ing me	ing	ing
	arn tco										
Core Courses in the Program	Le: Ou										
MFET 3350/L Plastics & Composite Mfg/Lab		R				R					
MFET 3810 Statistical Proc Control & Rel	Ι	R					R				
DET 3100 Tool Design ^C		R		Е		R					
MFET 3400 Machine Design ^C	Ι	Ι	R	Е							
MFET 3550 Manufacturing Supervision	R										
MFET 3710/L Comp Aided Mfg & Rapid Proto	E	Е									
MFET 3910 Six Sigma Methods and Tools	E	E,A	E,R			R					
MFET 3150 Engineering Tech Materials	Ι										
MFET 4580/L Process Automation/Lab			Ι	R		E					
MFET 4590 Prod Plan & Control		R		E		E					
MFET 4610 Senior Project Plan & Estimating	I,A	I,A	А	А	E,A	R,A	E,A	Α	Α	А	А
MFET 4610L Senior Project Lab I					R	Е	R	Е	R		Е
MFET 4620L Senior Project Lab II					R	Е	R	Е	R		Е
MFET 4995 CMfgT Exam Review	A	А	А	А	А	А	А	A	A	А	А
Technical Electives									R	R	
MFET 2850 CAD/CAM for Plastic & Comp ^P	R										
MFET 2860 Plastic/Comp Matl & Properties ^P	I										
MFET 2870 Design of Plastic/Comp Prod ^P	R			E							
MFET 3870 Mold Design & Proc Strategies ^P				R							

I = Introduced R = Reinforced			D	epartm	ent/Pro	ogram L	earning	Outcom	es		
E = Emphasized											
A = Assessed										-	
	ng ne 1	ng ne 2	ng ne 3	ng ne 4	ng ne 5	ng ne 6	ng ne 7	ng ne 8	ng ne 9	ng ne 10	ng ne 11
	arni	earni: utcor	earni: utcor	arni: utcor	arni: utcor	earni utcor	earni	earni	earni	earni	earni
Core Courses in the Program	Οι Γ	L€ 01	L€ Oı	Γε	L€ Oi	L€ Oı	L€ 0ı	L€ Oı	L€ 01	L€ Oı	Γe
MFET 3830 Reinforced Plastic/Adv Comp ^P	E						Е				
MET 4650 Thermal Science ^P	E										
MFET 2670/L GMA, FCA and GTA Weld/Lab ^w	Е										
MFET 3060 Codes, Weld Inspection & QA ^W	E										
MFET 3630/L Welding Metallurgy/Lab II ^W	E	R									
MFET 3820 Nondestructive Testing ^w	E										
MFET 4090 Welding Power Sources ^W	E	R									
MFET 4310 Corrosion & Corrosion Control ^W	E										
	E										
Support Courses:											
MATH 1080						Ι					
MATH 1210						R					
ENGL 1010							Ι				
ENGL 2010							R				
COMM 2110							R				
PHSX 2010 or 2210						Е					
CHEM 1110			Ι								
ECON 1010	Ι										
Diversity Elective									R	R	
Humanities Elective									R	R	

I = Introduced R = Reinforced	ced Department/Program Learning Outcomes						ies		-		
E = Emphasized A = Assessed											
	. 	5	, m	4	ы	9	7	ω	6	10	11
	ning ome	ning ome	ning ome	ning ome	ning ome	ning ome	ning ome	ning ome	ning ome	ning ome	ning ome
	ear) atco	leari Jutco	leari Jutco	leari Jutc	lear) utc	lear) Jutc	lear) Jutc	lear) Jutc	lear) utc	leari Jutc	lear) utc
Core Courses in the Program	I O	I I	L L	L C	I 0	L L	L L	L L	I U	I U	L C
Comp. & Info Lit (NTM)	Ι										

Unique to Emphasis:

^c Production Operations & Controls Emphasis ^p Plastics & Composites Emphasis ^w Welding Emphasis

Summary Information

The Manufacturing Engineering Technology degree with the three emphases places our graduates at a near 100% placement rate in high-paying, rewarding positions in industry throughout the country. Our graduates receive some of the highest paying salaries of any baccalaureate degrees from Weber State University.

D. Student Learning Outcomes and Assessment

Measureable Learning Outcomes

At the end of their study at WSU, students in this program will

1. Demonstrate appropriate mastery of knowledge, skills and modern tools in the discipline, including technologies of materials, manufacturing processes, tooling, automation, production operations, maintenance, quality, industrial organization and management, and statistics.

2. Apply current knowledge of technologies of materials, manufacturing processes, tooling, automation, production operations, maintenance, quality, industrial organization and management, and statistics to manufacturing engineering technology problems.

3. Conduct, analyze and interpret experiments and apply experimental results to improve processes.

4. Apply creativity to design of systems, components and processes.

5. Function effectively on teams.

6. Demonstrate the ability to identify, analyze and solve broadly defined engineering technology problems.

7. Communicate effectively in written, oral, and graphical forms.

8. Recognize the need for and possess the ability to pursue lifelong learning.

9. Understand professional, ethical and social responsibilities.

10. Respect diversity and recognize professional, societal and global issues.

11. Have a commitment to quality, timeliness and continuous improvement.

Evidence of Learning: General Education Courses

Evidence of Learning: Courses within the Major

	Evidence of Learning: Courses within the Major									
Measureable	Method of	Threshold for	Findings Linked to	Interpretation of	Action Plan/Use of					
Learning Outcome	Measurement	Evidence of Learning	Outcomes	Findings	Results					
1. Demonstrate	1. Course Exams									
appropriate mastery										
of knowledge, skills										
and modern tools in										
the discipline,										
	2. Surveys (Exit	No survey question	Survey is	Students feel they are	Continue surveys					
	Interview	responses below 3 on	administered in	adequately prepared						
	w/student)	a 1-5 scale	MFET 4610 senior	J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J						
	, ,		Project; Last							
			semester assessment							
			4.0/5							
	3. Senior Project	No evaluations below	Senior Project							
	Evaluations	3 on a scale of 1-5	evaluations for last							
			semester showed an							
			average score of over							
			4. All were above 3.							
	4. Select student work									

2. Apply current	1. Surveys	Survey is	Students feel they are	Continue surveys
knowledge of	(student)	administered in	adequately prepared	
technologies to		MFET 4610 senior		
Manufacturing		Project; Last		
engineering		semester assessment		
technology problems		4.3/5		

Evidence of Learning: Courses within the Major									
Measureable	Method of	Threshold for	Findings Linked to	Interpretation of	Action Plan/Use of				
Learning Outcome	Measurement	Evidence of Learning	Outcomes	Findings	Results				
2. continued	2. Course Exams								
	3. Senior Project								
	Evaluations								
	4. Select student								
	WORK								

3. Conduct, analyze and interpret experiments and apply experimental results to improve processes.	1. Surveys (student)	Survey is administered in MFET 4610 senior Project; Last semester assessment 4.0/5	Students feel they are adequately prepared	Continue surveys
	2. Senior Project Evaluations			

Evidence of Learning: Courses within the Major									
Measureable	Method of	Threshold for	Findings Linked to	Interpretation of	Action Plan/Use of				
Learning Outcome	Measurement	Evidence of Learning	Outcomes	Findings	Results				
3. continued	3. Select student work								
4. Apply creativity to	1. Senior Project								
design of systems,	Evaluations								
components and									
processes.									

	2. Surveys (student)	Survey is administered in MFET 4610 senior Project; Last semester assessment 3.9/5	Students feel they are adequately prepared	Continue surveys
	3. Select student work			
5. Function effectively on teams.	1. Senior Project Evaluations			

Evidence of Learning: Courses within the Major									
Measureable	Method of	Threshold for	Findings Linked to	Interpretation of	Action Plan/Use of				
Learning Outcome	Measurement	Evidence of Learning	Outcomes	Findings	Results				
5. continued	2. Surveys								
	(student)								

	3. Select student work			
6. Demonstrate the ability to identify, analyze and solve broadly defined engineering technology problems	1. Senior Project Evaluations			
	2. Surveys (student)	Survey is administered in MFET 4610 senior Project; Last semester assessment 3.9/5	Students feel they are adequately prepared	Continue surveys
	3. Select student work			
7. Communicate effectively in written, oral, and graphical forms.	1. Senior Project Evaluations			

		Evidence of Learning: C	ourses within the Major		
Measureable	Method of	Threshold for	Findings Linked to	Interpretation of	Action Plan/Use of
Learning Outcome	Measurement	Evidence of Learning	Outcomes	Findings	Results

7. continued	2. Select student work			
	3. Surveys (student)	Survey is administered in MFET 4610 senior Project; Last semester assessment 4.9/5	Students feel they are adequately prepared	Continue surveys
8. Recognize the need for and possess the ability to pursue lifelong learning.	1. Senior Project Evaluations			
	2. Surveys (student)			
9. Understand professional, ethical and social responsibilities.	1. Senior Project Evaluations			

	2. Surveys (student)		Survey is administered in MFET 4610 senior Project; Last semester assessment 3.9/5	Students feel they are adequately prepared	Continue surveys
		Evidence of Learning: Co	ourses within the Major		
Measureable Learning Outcome	Method of Measurement	Threshold for Evidence of Learning	Findings Linked to Outcomes	Interpretation of Findings	Action Plan/Use of Results
10. Respect diversity and recognize professional, societal and global issues.	1. Senior Project Evaluations				
	2. Surveys (student)		Survey is administered in MFET 4610 senior Project; Last semester assessment 4.9/5	Students feel they are adequately prepared	Continue surveys
	3. Select student work				
11. Have a commitment to quality, timeliness and continuous improvement.	1. Senior Project Evaluations				

2. Surveys (student)	Survey is administered in MFET 4610 senior Project; Last semester assessment	Students feel they are adequately prepared	Continue surveys
	4.8/5		

	Evidence of Learning: General Education Courses				
Measurable Learning	Method of	Threshold for	Findings Linked to	Interpretation of	Action Plan/Use of
Outcome	Measurement	Evidence of Student	Learning Outcomes	Findings	Results
		Learning			
Students will	Direct and Indirect				
	Measures*				
Learning Outcome	Measure 1: (Ex. A set	Measure 1: (Ex. 85%	Measure 1: (Ex. 93%	Measure 1: (Ex.	Measure 1: (Ex. No
1.A:	of 10 multiple choice	of students will score	of students scored	Students successfully	curricular or
	questions from Exam	80% or better on 10	80% or better on 10	demonstrated	pedagogical changes
	1)	questions)	questions)	interpretation skills)	needed at this time)
	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:
Learning Outcome	Measure 1: (Ex.	Measure 1: (Ex. 85%	Measure 1: (Ex. 90%	Measure 1: (Ex.	Measure 1: (Ex.
2.A:	Results of	of students will score	of students scored	Students successfully	Faculty agree to
	standardized test)	at or above the	above national	demonstrated	include review of
		national average)	average)	competence; lowest	transfer in all related
				average score was in	courses; this outcome
				transfer of knowledge,	will be reassessed
				where only 69% of	during next review
				questions were	
				answered correctly	
	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:

Note: Include General Education Courses table only if applicable. If no general education courses exist for program, remove table.

*At least one measure per objective must be a direct measure. Indirect measures may be used to supplement evidence provided via the direct measures.

Evidence of Learning: Courses within the Major

	Evidence of Learning: Courses within the Major				
Measurable Learning	Method of	Threshold for	Findings Linked to	Interpretation of	Action Plan/Use of
Outcome	Measurement	Evidence of Student	Learning Outcomes	Findings	Results
		Learning			
Students will	Direct and Indirect				
	Measures*				
Learning Outcome	Measure 1: (Ex. A set	Measure 1: (Ex. 85%	Measure 1: (Ex. 93%	Measure 1: (Ex.	Measure 1: (Ex. No
1.A:	of 10 multiple choice	of students will score	of students scored	Students successfully	curricular or
	questions from Exam	80% or better on 10	80% or better on 10	demonstrated	pedagogical changes
	1)	questions)	questions)	interpretation skills)	needed at this time)
	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:
Learning Outcome	Measure 1: (Ex.	Measure 1: (Ex. 85%	Measure 1: (Ex. 90%	Measure 1: (Ex.	Measure 1: (Ex.
2.A:	Results of	of students will score	of students scored	Students successfully	Faculty agree to
	standardized test)	at or above the	above national	demonstrated	include review of
		national average)	average)	competence; lowest	transfer in all related
				average score was in	courses; this outcome
				transfer of knowledge,	will be reassessed
				where only 69% of	during next review
				questions were	
				answered correctly	
	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:

*At least one measure per objective must be a direct measure. Indirect measures may be used to supplement evidence provided via the direct measures.

Evidence of Learning: High Impact or Service Learning

	Evidence of Learning: High Impact Service Learning				
Measurable Learning	Method of	Threshold for	Findings Linked to	Interpretation of	Action Plan/Use of
Outcome	Measurement	Evidence of Student	Learning Outcomes	Findings	Results
		Learning			
Students will	Direct and Indirect				
	Measures*				
Learning Outcome	Measure 1: (Ex. A set	Measure 1: (Ex. 85%	Measure 1: (Ex. 93%	Measure 1: (Ex.	Measure 1: (Ex. No
1.A:	of 10 multiple choice	of students will score	of students scored	Students successfully	curricular or
	questions from Exam	80% or better on 10	80% or better on 10	demonstrated	pedagogical changes
	1)	questions)	questions)	interpretation skills)	needed at this time)
	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:
Learning Outcome	Measure 1: (Ex.	Measure 1: (Ex. 85%	Measure 1: (Ex. 90%	Measure 1: (Ex.	Measure 1: (Ex.
2.A:	Results of	of students will score	of students scored	Students successfully	Faculty agree to
	standardized test)	at or above the	above national	demonstrated	include review of
		national average)	average)	competence; lowest	transfer in all related
				average score was in	courses; this outcome
				transfer of knowledge,	will be reassessed
				where only 69% of	during next review
				questions were	
				answered correctly	
	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:	Measure 2:

*At least one measure per objective must be a direct measure. Indirect measures may be used to supplement evidence provided via the direct measures.

E. Academic Advising

Advising Strategy and Process

All Manufacturing Engineering Technology students are required to meet with a faculty advisor at least annually for course and program advisement. Students may call 801-626-6305 for more information or to schedule an appointment. Advisement may also be obtained in Engineering Technology, room 214 and 218D.

Effectiveness of Advising

The current advisement process appears to be effective as there are very few issues concerning wrong advising. Advising as done in the program covers both career guidance and what courses students need to be taking.

Past Changes and Future Recommendations

There currently are no plans to change the current advising process.

F. Faculty

Faculty Demographic Information

The Manufacturing Engineering Technology program currently has six full-time faculty members and approximately 2 adjunct faculty who teach part-time. The number of adjuncts varies by semester and is included in the subcategory on adjuncts.

Main Categories		
	Subcategories	Number
Gender	Male	6
	Female	0
Ethnicity	Euro-American	6
	other	0
Degree	Bachelors	0
	Masters	5
	PhD	1
Rank/Tenure	Tenured	3
	Tenure Track	3
	Instructor	0
	Adjunct	2
Year Teaching	<5	1
	5-20	2
	>20	3

Programmatic/Departmental Teaching Standards

All faculty in the College are expected to be good teachers. Where there is a perceived weakness in a faculty member's teaching, they are counseled by a mentor, encouraged to attend the on-campus presentations on teaching, and in some cases have been sent to national conferences specific to teaching.

Faculty Qualifications

To be tenured or be hired on tenure track, faculty must meet one of the two following requirements:

- 1. Attainment of the earned doctorate in a field applicable to Manufacturing Engineering Technology and three years of full-time industrial experience.
- 2. Attainment of a master's degree in a field applicable to Manufacturing Engineering Technology and five years of full-time industrial experience.

Adjunct faculty must have a degree in Manufacturing Engineering Technology or its equivalent or in a related field and be currently active in the content area in which they are teaching.

Evidence of Effective Instruction

i. Regular Faculty

Tenure track faculty are evaluated each semester for every class they teach. Tenured faculty are evaluated in at least one class each semester they teach. Any concerns are discussed with the department chair.

ii. Adjunct Faculty

Adjunct faculty are evaluated each semester for every class they teach. Any concerns are discussed with the department chair.

Mentoring Activities

Faculty are mentored by the program coordinator and by the program chair. Faculty mentors also work with adjunct faculty to improve teaching and to assist with classroom issues such as testing, syllabi, online, cheating, and classroom discipline.

Diversity of Faculty

Ongoing Review and Professional Development

Tenure track faculty are reviewed informally once a year by the department chair and formally during their third and sixth years. Tenured faculty are reviewed every three years by the department chair.

Faculty members are provided opportunities for professional development in areas of instruction, scholarship, and service. This includes taking professional courses, attending and/or presenting at professional conferences, and participating in research and scholarly discussion groups on campus. In addition, all faculty are encouraged to submit proposals to the Research Scholarship and Professional Growth Committee and the Academic Resources and Computing Committee.

G. Support Staff, Administration, Facilities, Equipment, and Library

Adequacy of Staff

The department has one and a half technicians, one secretary, and several student aides that are shared among all of the programs. This number of staff is adequate to meet the needs of the program.

i. Ongoing Staff Development

Staff are encouraged to seek professional development where appropriate and have attended conferences off-campus and out-of-state paid for by the College.

Adequacy of Administrative Support

While the operating budgets are adequate to support the program, there is no capital equipment budget in the College. Therefore acquisition of new equipment is dependent upon other sources of funding which can be problematic. However, there is a definite lack of support for hiring new faculty which causes the faculty in the program to teach overloads thus reducing their time to participate in scholarly activities or service.

Adequacy of Facilities and Equipment

The primary tool used by the program is the personal computer. Currently the College is able to replace its computers every three years which is sufficient to keep them current with the available software. Some of the equipment used in the support courses is getting old and in need of replacement. Because of the budget situation discussed in the previous paragraph, the issue of when this equipment will be replaced is somewhat up in the air.

Adequacy of Library Resources

The library resources are adequate to support the program.

H. Relationships with External Communities

The faculty have a close working relationship with many of the employers and manufacturing companies in the area and around the country. Many of these companies have hired our students in the past and continue to recruit our graduates. Some of the personnel in management positions from these companies are graduates from the MfET program at Weber State.

Some of our faculty continue to consult and work for these companies on a contract basis. Our faculty are also involved in the Society of Manufacturing Engineers both in our student chapter and in the local professional chapter where relationships between WSU and the external community are constantly being developed.

Description of Role in External Communities

The MFET program supports the manufacturing industry in Utah where there are over 1150 manufacturing firms with more than 10 employees. Most of our graduates are employed in northern Utah, which includes primarily Weber County, Salt Lake County and Davis County. Together these three counties comprise about 90% of the manufacturing in Utah. Important local manufacturing firms include the following:

Advanced Drainage Sys. **Associated Food Stores** ATK* Autoliv* Barnes Aerospace Ogden Division* Cerrowire Chromalox **Clover Club Foods** CT Film ELKAY West (Dayton-Ogden Corp)* DFG England/Corsair **Fieldcrest Cabinets** Fresenius Futura Great Salt Lake Mineral

GSC Casting* Honeywell* Hurco Industries Intouch Machining Iomega Jetway Systems/FMC Kimberly-Clark Layton City Lifetime Products* Naptech National Standard

Northrop Grumman* Orbit Parker Hannifin* Petersen, Inc. Precision Plating Promold* Richards Sheetmetal Skydandee Mfg. Smith's Frozen Dairy Plant Syro Tech Steel W.R. White Company Wavel Huber Wood Prod. Wells Cargo Western Coating Western Zirconium Williams International Zero Enclosures

* Current members of Industrial Advisory Committee

Summary of External Advisory Committee Minutes

MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE September 13, 2013 Meeting Minutes

Industry Members (bold=in attendance) **Cameron Swaner (GE) Dave Ferrell (Promold)** Joel Clarkson (SLCC) Keith Fisher (Parker-Hannifin) Ken Mortensen (Envirotech Molded) Mark Ripke (Boeing) Mitch Johnson (Lifetime) **Rex Hardman (Miller Electric)** Ned Blackett (Leanwerks) William Fitzgerald (GSC) Brian DeRoche (JBT) Dan Berry (Barnes Aerospace) Denver Merrill (Harristone) John Hicken (Companion Systems) Mark Coy (Setpoint) Mark Jones (Clean Machine)

MFET Department Mark Baugh – MFET Welding Emphasis George Comber – MFET Plastics Emphasis Andrew Deceuster – MFET/MET Pat DeJong – Dept. Administration Kelly Harward - MFET Julie McCulley – EET/MFET Rick Orr – Dept. Chairman Kerry Tobin – MFET COAST Karen Doutre –Career Services Dave Ferro – Dean Kelly Stackaruk – Development Director

1. Lunch / Introductions / New faculty member Andrew Deceuster

- 2. Status of 3 emphases in MFET
- 163 total majors
- •73 Welding
- 56 Production Operations and Controls
- 23 Plastics & Composites
- 11 No emphasis declared
- 3. Review Mission Statement, Educational Objects
- Action Item: Add mission statement to website (Pat DeJong)
- 4. Student Assessment

SME certification exam given to students their last semester.

See attached group summary provided by SME.

- 5. Graduate Improvements
 - Electronics/Automation

Discussion held automation needs in industry.

-integrate servos, steppers, pneumatics, hydraulics, end of arm tooling -teach pendants easy to use, it's the theory and integration students need to learn. -Students need to know how to tie process control into automation

- Adv Machining Controls
- Programming Discussed if need for a programming course. Discussed TK solver v. MatLab. SLCC and USU use MatLab.
- Design Controls- need for students effectively manage change PDM, PLM
- 6. Proposed program changes
 - Welding corrosion no longer stand alone course, include as a module in other courses
 - Add a welding robotics course
 - Discussed starting MATH requirements at MATH 1210 (Calculus), instead of MATH 1080 (Pre-Calc), this would free up hours for technology courses. SLCC is moving in that direction as well.
 - Add a 2-3 credit hour Intro to MFET
 - Discussed changing MFET 2150 to a MIG course, rather than stick.
 - Discussed need for manual v. CNC machining course.
- 7. New equipment
- Water jet cutter
- Welding robot
- 8. Employment outlook
 - Most advisory board members predicted growth, or maintaining, current positions in the next year.
 - Karen Doutre, Career Services, spoke about the upcoming Tech Career Fair. See attached letter from the dean, Dave Ferro.
- 9. MFET Advisory Board Survey

Copy attached if you did not get a chance to fill out. Email back to Kelly Harward (kharward@weber.edu)

I. Results of Previous Program Reviews (Since there was no available data from a Regents Review, the following is the results of a previous ABET Program Review).

For this program, the assessment and evaluation processes do not appear to be ^{*}distinguishing between program educational objectives and program outcomes. For instance, the Student Satisfaction Survey is administered to graduates two to three years after graduation, the time period within which program educational objectives would normally be assessed; however, this survey focuses on program outcomes that are normally measured prior to graduation. In addition, questions on the Employer Survey are not clearly related to program educational objectives, and there are no defined benchmarks to evaluate program educational objectives. These issues can lead to confusion or errors in the assessment and evaluation process which, in turn, may result inactions that are not productive in improving the program. Without clearly specified metrics, it is not possible to reliably measure the degree in which corrective actions have improved the program. Therefore, this finding remains a Concern until the program demonstrates (1) that it is using a documented process incorporating relevant data to regularly assess its program educational objectives and program outcome and to evaluate the extent to which they are being met, and (2) that it is using results of these evaluations of program educational objectives and program outcomes to effect continuous improvement of the program through a documented plan.

<u>Due Process Response</u>: The program-responded that changes have been made to the assessment process to simplify the format and clarify the differences while maintaining the relationship between objectives outcomes. An alumni survey will be sent out each summer to graduates, and employer surveys will be sent out in the summer to employers of graduates. The surveys will focus on program educational objectives and will have benchmarks to define satisfactory performance. Both will be reviewed by the

department as well as the Advisory Committee. The assessment of program outcomes assessment will be conducted through the SME certification examination, a student exit survey, and selected student work that includes the senior project. Benchmarks have been established and the responsible parties have been identified. Documentation of the changes was included in the due process response.

<u>Status after Due Process</u>: This finding remains a Concern until the program demonstrates that it is using results of the evaluations of program educational objectives and program outcomes to effect continuous improvement of the program through a documented plan.

Problem Identified	Action Taken	Progress
Issue 1	Previous 5 Year Program Review:	
	Year 1 Action Taken:	Define metrics
	Year 2 Action Taken:	Document Process /relevant data
	Year 3 Action Taken:	Assess courses in cycle, collect data
	Year 4 Action taken:	Continue to assess courses
Issue 2	Previous 5 Year Program Review:	
	Year 1 Action Taken:	Implement improved Survey Questions
	Year 2 Action Taken:	Survey sent out to graduates, reviewed
	Year 3 Action Taken:	Survey sent out to graduates, reviewed
	Year 4 Action taken:	Survey sent out to graduates, reviewed

J. Action Plan for Ongoing Assessment Based on Current Self Study Findings

Action Plan	for Evidenc	e of Learning	Related	Findings

Problem Identified	Action to Be Taken
Issue 1	Current 5 Year Program Review:
	Year 1 Action to Be Taken:
	Year 2 Action to Be Taken:
	Year 3 Action to Be Taken:
	Year 4 Action to Be Taken:
Issue 2	Current 5 Year Program Review:
	Year 1 Action to Be Taken:
	Year 2 Action to Be Taken:
	Year 3 Action to Be Taken:
	Year 4 Action to Be Taken:

Action Plan for Staff, Administration, or Budgetary Findings

Problem Identified	Action to Be Taken
Issue 1	Current 5 Year Program Review:
	Year 1 Action to Be Taken:
	Year 2 Action to Be Taken:
	Year 3 Action to Be Taken:
	Year 4 Action to Be Taken:
Issue 2	Current 5 Year Program Review:
	Year 1 Action to Be Taken:
	Year 2 Action to Be Taken:
	Year 3 Action to Be Taken:
	Year 4 Action to Be Taken:

K. Summary of Artifact Collection Procedure

Artifact	Learning Outcome Measured	When/How Collected?	Where Stored?
(i.e. Final Project Rubric)		(i.e. end of semester)	(i.e. electronic copies)
(i.e. Chi Tester Outcome Report)		(i.e. 2-3 times per	(i.e. electronic format,
		semester)	chi tester warehouse)

Summary Information

Student artifacts are collected by the instructor for courses he/she wishes to use for measuring outcomes. These artifacts are stored by the respective instructor for the course evaluation(s), the instructor evaluation for tenure and post tenure review, and for program assessment. A sampling for these artifacts are collected by the program coordinator and placed in our shared network drive for the Mfet program at: 0:\Accreditation and Assessment\ Regents\MfET\ StudentExamples_Assessment 2014-15

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Student and Faculty Statistical Summary

Engineering Technology	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Student Credit Hours Total ¹	11,230	10,970	12,102	11,403	13247
Design Engineering Tech	4,009	3,822	4,366	4,758	5,394
Electronic Engineering Tech*	2,891	2,524	2,899	1,778	1,862
Mechanical Engineering Tech	1,585	1,869	2,015	1,992	2,535
Manufacturing Engineering Tech	2,745	2,755	2,822	2,875	3,456
Student FTE Total ²	374.33	365.67	403.40	380.10	441.57
Student Majors ³	659	649	696	716	703
Design Engineering Tech	121	146	143	127	120
Electronic Engineering Tech	233	177	173	116	106
Mechanical Engineering Tech	178	192	238	273	245
Manufacturing Engineering	127	134	142	152	163
General Technology	0	0	0	48	69
Program Graduates ⁴					
Associate Degree	30	32	33	17	23
Bachelor Degree	69	60	83	65	68
Student Demographic Profile ⁵					
Female	61	63	63	85	88
Male	598	586	633	631	615
Faculty FTE Total ⁶	24.04	23.61	21.84	21.26	
Adjunct FTE	3.69	5.71	5.05	5.46	
Contract FTE	20.35	17.9	16.79	15.8	
Student/Faculty Ratio ⁷	18.39	20.43	24.03	24.06	

Note: Data provided by Institutional Research

Student Credit Hours Total represents the total department-related credit hours for all students per academic year. Includes only students reported in Banner system as registered for credit at the time of data downloads.

Student FTE Total is the Student Credit Hours Total divided by 30.

Student Majors is a snapshot taken from self-report data by students in their Banner profile as of the third week of the Fall term for the academic year.

Program Graduates includes only those students who completed all graduation requirements by end of Spring semester for the academic year of interest. Students who do not meet this requirement are included in the academic year in which all requirements are met. Summer is the first term in each academic year.

Student Demographic Profile is data retrieved from the Banner system.

Faculty FTE is the aggregate of contract and adjunct instructors during the fiscal year. Contract FTE includes instructionalrelated services done by "salaried" employees as part of their contractual commitments. Adjunct FTE includes instructionalrelated wages that are considered temporary or part-time basis. Adjunct wages include services provided at the Davis campus, along with on-line and Continuing Education courses.

Student/Faculty Ratio is the Student FTE Total divided by the Faculty FTE Total.

Appendix B: Contract/Adjunct Faculty Profile

Name	Gender	Ethnicity	Rank	Tenure Status	Highest Degree	Years of Teaching	Areas of Expertise
DET						1.00000008	
John Julander	М	W	Adjunct	No	BS	5	Comp. Graphics
Marni Tobin	F	W	Adjunct	No	BS	4	CAD
Jennifer Lanzetti	F	W	Adjunct	No	BS	1	Comp. Graphics
MET							
Doug Hogge	М	W	Adjunct	No	MS	1	FEA, Design
Adam Hazard	М	W	Adjunct	No	MBA	3	Mech. Design
MFET							
Mary Foss	F	W	Adjunct	No	MS	5	Math, Stats
Thomas Russel	М	W	Adjunct	No	BS	5	Machining
Kerry Gibson	М	W	Adjunct	No	BS	1	Stats, Quality
EET							
Kevin Andreasen	М	W	Adjunct	No	BS	1	Electronics
Cory Tonks	М	W	Adjunct	No	MS	1	Electronics

Appendix C: Staff Profile

Name	Gender	Ethnicity	Job Title	Years of Employment	Areas of Expertise
Roger Anderson	М	W	Technician	24	Equipment, automation, computer networks
Cordell Gold	М	W	Technician	1	Maintenance
Pat DeJong	F	W	Admin. Spec.	9	Administration

Appendix D: Financial Analysis Summary

Department – ET (includes EET, DET, MET, MFET	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Undergraduate					
Instructional Costs (faculty salaries & ben.)					
DET	413,451	314,664	323,562	313,820	315,445
MET					
MFET					
EET					
Staff salaries					
Support Costs (current expense – MET, MFET, DET	\$52,000	\$52,000	\$52,000	\$52,000	\$52,000
Support Costs (current expense – EET)	n/a	n/a	\$15,000	\$5,000	\$8,000
Total Expense					

Note: Data from Financial Summary by Org report. Note; EET used to be a separate entity and was added to ET in 2010. The original budget as a separate department was \$25,000. Upon relocation to ET the EET current expense budget was reduced to \$15,000 in 2011-12 (fewer faculty), 5000 in 2012-13, and \$1000 in 2013-14. Through negotiations the budget was restored to \$8000 in 2013-14 and \$10,000 in 2014-15.

Version Date: Oct 2011

MET - Dustin Birch, MFET -		
Kelly Harward		
MET/MFET	Dan Berry	Barnes Aerospace
MET/MFET	Mark Coy	Setpoint Systems
MET/MFET	Brian DeRoche	JBT Corporation
MET/MFET	Dave Farrell	ProMold, Inc
MFET	William Fitzgerald	GSC Foundaries
MET	Mark Jones	Clean Machine
MET/MFET	Reid Leland	LeanWerks
MET/MFET	Mark Ripke	Boeing
MET/MFET	Jared Bringhurst	Futura - manufacturing manager - he has MET students
	or MET	working for him that he likes
MET/MFET	Dave Winter	Lifetime
MET	Craig Johnson	Chromalox
MET	Cody Hathaway	Lifetime Products
DET	Name	Company
DET GLEN WEST - PROGRAM	Name	Company
DET GLEN WEST - PROGRAM COORDINATOR	Name	Company
DET GLEN WEST - PROGRAM COORDINATOR arch	Name David Bailey	Company Destination Homes
DET GLEN WEST - PROGRAM COORDINATOR arch mech	Name David Bailey Jason Barker	Company Destination Homes Barnes
DET GLEN WEST - PROGRAM COORDINATOR arch mech mech	Name David Bailey Jason Barker Gordon Cumming	Company Destination Homes Barnes L-3 Communications
DET GLEN WEST - PROGRAM COORDINATOR arch mech mech mech	Name David Bailey Jason Barker Gordon Cumming Tom DeJong	Company Destination Homes Barnes L-3 Communications WesTech Engineering
DET GLEN WEST - PROGRAM COORDINATOR arch mech mech arch	Name David Bailey Jason Barker Gordon Cumming Tom DeJong Roger Jackson	Company Destination Homes Barnes L-3 Communications WesTech Engineering FFKR Architects
DET GLEN WEST - PROGRAM COORDINATOR arch mech mech arch arch mech	Name David Bailey Jason Barker Gordon Cumming Tom DeJong Roger Jackson David Johnston	Company Destination Homes Barnes L-3 Communications WesTech Engineering FFKR Architects Daimler Trucks North America
DET GLEN WEST - PROGRAM COORDINATOR arch mech mech arch mech arch arch	Name David Bailey Jason Barker Gordon Cumming Tom DeJong Roger Jackson David Johnston Jennifer Lanzetti	Company Destination Homes Barnes L-3 Communications WesTech Engineering FFKR Architects Daimler Trucks North America Jacobsen Construction
DET GLEN WEST - PROGRAM COORDINATOR arch mech mech arch mech arch mech arch both	Name David Bailey Jason Barker Gordon Cumming Tom DeJong Roger Jackson David Johnston Jennifer Lanzetti Mike Lyman	Company Destination Homes Barnes L-3 Communications WesTech Engineering FFKR Architects Daimler Trucks North America Jacobsen Construction Paradigm Solutions Group
DET GLEN WEST - PROGRAM COORDINATOR arch mech mech arch mech arch both arch	Name David Bailey Jason Barker Gordon Cumming Tom DeJong Roger Jackson David Johnston Jennifer Lanzetti Mike Lyman Kirt Merril	Company Destination Homes Barnes L-3 Communications WesTech Engineering FFKR Architects Daimler Trucks North America Jacobsen Construction Paradigm Solutions Group Nilson Homes
DET GLEN WEST - PROGRAM COORDINATOR arch mech mech arch mech arch both arch both arch	Name David Bailey Jason Barker Gordon Cumming Tom DeJong Roger Jackson David Johnston Jennifer Lanzetti Mike Lyman Kirt Merril Mike Plaudis	Company Destination Homes Barnes L-3 Communications WesTech Engineering FFKR Architects Daimler Trucks North America Jacobsen Construction Paradigm Solutions Group Nilson Homes Big D

Appendix E: External Community Involvement Names and Organizations

arch	Dan Schmeling	ARETE.DBL
arch	Melissa Thiessens	GSBS Architects
mech	Alan Wadge	АТК
arch	Kelby York	York Engineer
EET	Name	Company
JULIE MCCULLEY - PROGRAM		
COORDINATOR		
EET	Carl Belnap	Design Criteria, Inc
EET	Doug Carlisle	Varian
EET	Jason Corob	L-3 Communications
EET	Michael Clark	FAA
EET	Larry Riggs	Autoliv
EET	Scott Snarr	L-3 Communications Tech Recruiter
EET	Joe	Setpoint Systems
	VanDenBerghe	
EET	Clayton	L-3 Communications
	Wahlquist	
MFET	Name	Company
KELLY HARWARD -		
PROGRAM COORDINATOR		
MFET	Jared Bringhurst	Futura
MFET	Keith Fisher	Parker-Hannifin Control Systems
MFET	Mitch Johnson	Lifetime Products
MFET	Rex Hardman	Miller Electric
MFET	John Hicken	Companion Systems
MFET	Denver Merrill	Harristone
MFET	Mark Miller	Elkay
MFET	Steve Moore	Barnes Aerospace
MFET	Roger Shuman	Wells Cargo

Appendix F: External Community Involvement Financial Contributions

Organization	Amount	Туре
Perkins – US Dept. of Education		
(varies - ranges from \$45,000 to \$16, 900	\$16,900	Grant
UCAP Grant 2014	\$150,000	Grant
Mark Graves	\$40,000*	Donation
J D Machine	\$50,000*	u
David Roubinet	\$2,800/yr*	u
Autoliv	\$5,000/yr*	u
Parker Aerospace	\$5,000/yr*	ű
Barnes Aerospace	\$2,500/yr*	ű

*For the Engineering Technology Department and shared among the four programs in the department.