

ASTEC Proposal Ranking Rubric

Purpose of proposal: non-travel related support for faculty research; program, course, or curriculum development or renewal; or technology for teaching, research, and innovation.

1. Have you applied for ASTEC funding in the last year? Yes No
2. Is it appropriate for this committee? Yes No
3. Is there enough evidence on the feasibility of the proposal? Yes No
4. If this proposal involves technology (hardware or software). Have you received approval by the MCOE technology specialist Yes No

If committee determines lack of compliance with any of the previous questions, the proposal will be rejected.

	Description	Example	Score (5 high, 0 low)
Value to faculty and program	Project enhances educational experience.	5 – Impacts large number of students or programs 3 – Impacts many students in one program 1 – Impacts small number of students	
Value toward professional development/t enure	Project contributes toward scholarship, teaching, or service.	5 – Publishable results, community enhancement, or supports best practices in teaching 3 – Preliminary results without formal analysis of outcomes or service project with informal evaluation 1 – Little value toward professional development or tenure	
Innovation	How does the project help position the Moyes College as a leader in innovative use of educational practices?	5 – Uses technology/or teaching practices in innovative ways 3 – Project uses technology/teaching practices with demonstrated methods 0 – Not addressed in proposal	

Sustainability	Can equipment, supplies, or methods be used beyond the intended project?	5 – Can be reused within the department/college for further educational purposes with little additional cost 3 – Can be reused but requires upgrade and additional funding/technical support to maintain 0 – Will not be used beyond the intended project	
Evaluation plan	How will the project be evaluated for effectiveness?	5 – Evaluation plan in place and easy to understand how it will be implemented 3 – Evaluation plan proposed but not clearly defined 0 – No evaluation plan	
External funding support	Matching funds from external sources are recommended	5 – Significant matching funds (>50%) 3 – Moderate matching funds (25% - 50%) 0 – Small to no matching funds (<25%)	

TOTAL POINTS :