

All submissions responding to *Call for Papers* are evaluated in a double-blind manner using identical score sheets.

Submission Title:	
Submission Number:	
Submission Type (paper/panel/abstract/workshop)	
Intended Track	

Please rate the following seven items on the scale at the right.	Not Appli- cable	1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excel- lent
1. Relevance of this submission to a designated MPMC track.						
2. Likelihood to generate interest/discussion.						
3. Thoroughness of review and analysis of relevant literature.						
4. Appropriateness of methodology						
5. Presentation and interpretation of findings						
6. Clarity/readability of the paper, tables, and illustrations						
7. Writing style (grammar, language, adherence to APA)						
<b>TOTAL</b> (maximum = 35) → → → → →						

Overall recommendation for this submission. (Please check only one box.)	Reject	Accept with major revisions	Accept with minor revisions	Accept as is

Do you recommend this paper be considered for a Best Paper Award for its track? Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_

Do you have any reason to doubt the academic integrity of this submission? Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_  
Any submission in doubt will be investigated. A failed investigation will result in non-acceptance.

Summarize recommended revisions for the author . (Expand to additional pages as needed.)

Comments for track chair. These will not be given to author. (Expand to additional pages as needed.)